Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BERLIN 06726 201725Z

15

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SCA-01 CPR-01 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04

H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02

SS-15 USIA-06 ACDA-07 IO-13 /081 W

----- 101795

R 201531Z SEP 76 FM AMEMBASSY BERLIN TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3282 INFO AMEMBASSY BONN USMISSION USBERLIN

CONFIDENTIAL BERLIN 6726

E O 11652: GDS

TAGS: CGEN, GE, US

SUBJ: US/GDR RELATIONS: REMARKS OF DR. GEYER ON THE CONSULAR CONVENTION

REF: BERLIN 6717

1. IN FURTHER REFERENCE TO DR. HANS MEYER GEYER'S RAISING THE ISSUE OF A US/GDR CONSULAR CONVENTION DURING OUR TALK ON SEPTEMBER 14 (REFTEL) GEYER SAID THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE US, WITH MANY CONSULUR CONVENTIONS, DID NOT WISH TO ESTABLISH A PRECEDENT BY THE INCLUSION OF A DEFINITION OF NATIONALITY; BUT, HE SAID, THE US MAY NOT UNDERSTAND THE PROBLEM AS IT APPLIES TO THE GDR. A CONVENTION WITHOUT THE DEFINITION WOULD BE PERFECTLY CLEAR TO THE US AS THE US KNOWS ITS CITIZENS, AND EVERYONE KNOWS ITS CITIZENS. THERE WOULD BE NO QUESTION ABOUT THEIR REPRESENTATION BY THE US IN THE GDR. CONVERSELY, BECAUSE OF THE FRG BASIC LAW, THE GDR WOULD NOT KNOW WHAT PERSONS THE US WOULD CONSIDER CITIZENS OF THE GDR.

2. GEYER WENT ON TO SAY IN A VERY INTERESTING WAY THERE MAY BE A POSSIBILITY OF SOLUTION, IF THE PRACTICAL CONSEQUENCES, CAN BE MADE CLEAR AND ASSURED TO THE GDR. CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BERLIN 06726 201725Z

HE DID NOT THINK A SOLUTION WOULD FOLLOW THE GDR/UK

PATTERN, ALTHOUGH HE THOUGHT THE US WANTED TO PLEASE
THE FRG POLITICALLY. HE ILLUSTRATED THE "PRACTICAL
CONSEQUENCES" AS FOLLOWS: IF MR. "X" OF THE US SHOULD
BE IMPRISONED IN THE GDR, THE GDR WOULD KNOW THAT HE WAS
AN AMERICAN CITIZEN AND THERE WOULD BE NO QUESTION THAT
THE US CONSUL COULD ASK FOR, AND SECURE REPRESENTAION.
HOWEVER, IF HERR FISCHER, "I DO NOT MEAN THE FOREIGN
MINISTER," SHOULD BE IMPRISONED, THE GDR WOULD HAVE NO ASSURANCES
HE WOULD HAVE SUCH A RIGHT. HE ADDED THAT HE KNEW THAT
US LAW COULD NOT GUARANTEE THAT THE GDR CITIZEN WOULD
ACCEPT GDR REPRESENTATION BUT THAT THE GDR HAD THE RIGHT
TO ASK FOR REPRESENTATION. I NOTED THAT I HAD FOLLOWED THE
NEGOTIATIONS AND I HAD NEVER HEARD THIS POSITION RAISED.

3. I BELIEVE GEYER HAS ENOUGH KNOWLEDGE OF US LAW AND CUSTOM TO PRESENT HIS ILLUSTRATION. ALSO, HE IS THOROUGHLY ACQUANTED WITH EVERY TALK I HAVE HAD WITH GENERAL SECRETARY HONECKER. DURING MY SECOND MEETING, WHEN WE DISCUSSED THE CONSULAR CONVENTION, I HAD TOLD HONECKER THAT THE INCLUSION OF A DEFINITION OF NATIONALITY WOULD NOT BE ACCEPTABLE, AND THAT THERE WAS NO PRACTICAL REASON FOR ITS ACCEPTANCE. I ASSUMED AT THE TIME WHEN I TALKED TO HONECKER THERE WAS NO QUESTION THAT THE GDR WOULD HAVE FIRST ACCESS, BUT I TOLD HONECKER THAT UNDER US LAW, IT WOULD NOT BE GUARANTEED THAT SUCH A PERSON WOULD ACCEPT GDR REPRESENTATION AND COULD REQUEST REPRESENTATION OF ANY COUNTRY OF HIS CHOICE. IT COULD BE THAT GEYER DEVELOPED THIS IDEA FROM MY CONVERSATION WITH HONECKER FOR WHICH HE ACTED AS INTERPRETER.

4. I HAVE RAISED THE QUESTION OF ACCESS SEVERAL TIMES WITH THE DEPARTMENT WITHOUT ANSWER, AND I MUST SAY THAT I BELIEVE THAT WHEN NEGOTIATIONS ARE RESUMED THIS POINT DESERVES CLARIFICATION. WHILE I HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING WHETHER CLAIRICATION WILL BE SUCCESSFUL, IT MIGHT RESOLVE THE ISSUE. I AM FURTHER STRENGTHENED IN MY VIEW BY A CONVERSATION I HAD WITH STATE SECRETARY HERMES DURING MY VISIT TO BONN. HERMES ASKED ME TO GIVE HIM MY VIEW AS AMBASSADOR TO THE GDR OF THE US POSITION ON THE CONSULAR CONVENTION. I ADHERED TO THE DEPARTMENT'S CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BERLIN 06726 201725Z

POSITION, IN WHICH I BELIEVE, TELLING HIM THAT THE US WOULD NOT REVERSE ITS POSITION AGAINST THE INCLUSION OF THE DEFINITION OF NATIONALITY. TO MY SURPRISE HE SAID, "YES, WE UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT THERE SHOULD BE THE ASSURANCE OF EQUIVALENT ACCESS." I DO NOT KNOW WHETHER THIS IS THE POSITION OF THE FRG GOVERNMENT, BUT THE DEPARTMENT SHOULD KNOW. IT APPEARS TO ME THAT THE US FIRM POSITION ON NON-INCLUSION OF THE DEFINITION OF

NATIONALITY IS AN IMPORTANT ASSURANCE FOR THE FRG. COOPER	
CONFIDENTIAL	
NNN	

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 20 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976BERL IN06726

Document Number: 1976BERLIN06726 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760355-0935

From: BERLIN

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760943/aaaabkgw.tel Line Count: 117 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 76 BERLIN 6717 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: CunninFX

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 27 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <19 AUG 2004 by CunninFX>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: US/GDR RELATIONS: REMARKS OF DR. GEYER ON THE CONSULAR CONVENTION TAGS: CGEN, GC, US
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006